

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,612	01/24/2002	David W. Pipes	1670-233	6158	
6449 75	6449 7590 01/07/2004		EXAMINER		
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			CEPERLE	CEPERLEY, MARY	
SUITE 800	1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1641	3	
		·	DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/053,612	PIPES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 18-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 18-32 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 					
Attachment(s)	57				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>4</u> . atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/053,612

Art Unit: 1641

1) Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 18-26, drawn to a kit comprised at a minimum of "stannous ion" in combination with "an anion" and "carbon monoxide".
- II. Claims 27-32, drawn to a kit comprised at a minimum of a "stannous ion" in combination with "an anion" and a metal which is "technetium" or "rhenium".
- 2) The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The two inventions involve <u>different combinations</u> of components, each combination being comprised of well known substances. The common member of each of the kits of inventions I and II is "a stannous ion" which is well known in the form of SnCl₂. Stannous ion *per se* clearly has many different utilities in addition to its use in the disclosed method of preparation of Tc-carbonyl complexes. Patentability of the kits is predicated not on the presence of SnCl₂ but on its combination with other kit components as described at page 8, lines 17-30 of the specification.

3) Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter requiring divergent fields of search for the different combinations of kit components, as well as different patentability considerations, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4) The following points are noted:

- a) The claim 18 and claim 27 term "in the form of a discrete molecule comprising said stannous ion plus an anion" is not described in the specification and it is unclear what is meant to be included by this term.
- **b)** The term "may be in the form of" does not require that this particular "form" be used in the kit.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,612

Art Unit: 1641

c) A "for use in" limitation is not a limitation on the composition per se which

Page 3

must be evaluated for patentability based on the actual combination of components,

independent of any utility.

5) A fax was sent to George Repper on October 21, 2003 to request an oral election to the

above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

6) Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4239. The

examiner can normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Long V. Le, can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

January 06, 2004

Mary E Ceperley Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1641